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1 Main Research Themes

Realizing the full potential of intelligent virtual agents requires compelling characters that can engage users in meaningful and realistic social interactions, and an ability to develop these characters effectively and efficiently. Advances are needed in individual capabilities, but perhaps more importantly, fundamental questions remain as to how best to integrate these capabilities into a single framework that allows us to efficiently create characters that can engage users in meaningful and realistic social interactions. This integration requires in-depth, inter-disciplinary understanding few individuals, or even teams of individuals, possess.

Our research is focused on understanding the relationship between individual capabilities, how they strengthen each other within larger systems and which sets of minimum and desired permutations can be defined for different types of systems and domains. This research is often conducted within the context of a common, modular framework that contains a mix of research and commercial technologies to offer full coverage of subareas including speech recognition, audio-visual sensing, natural language processing, dialogue management, nonverbal behavior generation & realization, text-to-speech and rendering.

Many of our characters are so-called question-answering agents. They offer a user-driven, interview-type style of conversation where a user question is answered with a character answer. These systems explore how to best provide particular information within a given domain, often through a set of pre-defined responses from one or more agents. Examples are SGT Star [1], Boston Museum of Science Guides [20], Virtual Patients [10] and Gunslinger [7], covering military, education, medical and entertainment domains.

Another focus has been on modeling verbal and nonverbal back-channeling behavior through virtual listeners, in order to establish rapport and increase speaker fluency and engagement. The Rapport project is an example of this [5].

Virtual interviewers put the focus on gathering information from or assessing the user. Shifting some of the conversational burden to the agent requires increased dialogue management and natural language understanding capabilities. The SimCoach system [17], for example, is a web-based guide that helps navigate healthcare related resources, which uses a forward looking, reward seeking dialogue manager [15]. Combining advanced dialogue management with audio-visual sensing results in SimSensei, an agent who aids in recognition of psychological distress [4]. SimSensei enables an engaging face-to-face interaction where the character reacts to the perceived user state and intent through its own speech and gestures.

Many of these capabilities are available for the research community through the ICT Virtual Human Toolkit\(^1\). It provides a solid basis for the rapid development of new virtual humans, but also serves as an integrated research platform to enable context-sensitive research in any of the Virtual Human subfields, taking advantage of and examining the impact on other system modules.

2 Current Architectures and Standards

Many of the virtual humans developed at the University of Southern California Institute for Creative Technologies are instantiation of a more general Virtual Human Architecture, see Figure 1. It defines at an abstract level the capabilities of a virtual human and how these interact. Not every system will include all capabilities and some will implement them to a greater or lesser extent. The architecture allows for multiple implementations of a certain capability and simple substitution of one implementation for another during runtime, facilitating the exploration of alternative models for realizing individual capabilities. Thus the general architecture can be specialized in many different ways. Capabilities are realized through specific modules, most of which communicate with each other through a custom messaging system called VHMsg, build on top

\(^1\)https://vhtoolkit.ict.usc.edu
of ActiveMQ\(^2\). Messages are typically broadcasted, although there are intended consumers. Libraries have been built for several languages, including Java, C++, C#, Lisp and TCL, so that developers have a wide latitude in developing new modules that can communicate with the rest of the system. There is a standard set of message types used by existing modules [6], and it is very easy to create new message types. The basis for this architecture is SAIBA\(^3\) which was extended to cover additional areas. It uses the Functional Markup Language (FML) [9], the Behavior Markup Language (BML) [11], and the Perception Markup Language (PML) [21].

![Diagram of the Virtual Human Architecture](image)

**Fig. 1. The Virtual Human Architecture.**

The relationship between capabilities and modules is not necessarily one to one. The Agent, for example, can range from rule-based systems [5], to a statistical text-classifier (the NPCEditor [13] which also serves as NLU and NLG), to cognitive architectures [23, 19], which can include sub-modules for task modeling, emotion modeling, and dialogue management. Other often used modules are MultiSense (audio-visual sensing) [21], FLoReS (dialogue manager) [15], Cerebella (nonverbal behavior generation) [14], and SmartBody (character animation) [22].

The strengths of the architecture lie in its modularity, extensibility and the wide range of integrated capabilities, offering a powerful basis for the rapid research and development of new implementations of both modules and systems. It has been validated by over two dozen systems, ranging from research prototypes to deployed applications. More information about the architecture, API, individual modules and capabilities, and created systems can be found in [6].

### 3 Future of Architectures and Standards for IVAs

We suggest that future efforts focus on achieving broader interoperability between systems created by separate research groups. For instance, while several BML realizers exist [3, 8, 16, 22, 24], they are not directly interchangeable due to an often less than strict implementation of the standard as well as many custom extensions. In addition, the transport layer is undefined, preventing messages between in-house and external modules to be sent and received easily.

Related, more thought should be given to sharing capabilities, data and assets throughout the community, to more easily leverage each other’s work. This requires that standards and procedures move beyond merely an architecture and interface, and include explicit notions of the community, their systems and data, and associated methodologies. A layered approach of Community, Architecture & Interface and Implementation levels may aid in this goal.

Reference architectures like SAIBA should include a broader range of capabilities, including audio-visual sensing and natural language processing. They should also address challenges like continuous communication and processing between components rather than the current often sequential ones.

Finally, relationships between capabilities should be made more explicit and should be extendable and scalable. At run-time, the system and its components should be able to detect available capabilities as well as their level of sophistication and adapt accordingly, analogous to discoverable web services. For instance, a speech recognition capability could offer either discrete or continuous recognition, with optional prosody analysis; the remainder of the system should be able to work with the minimum provided capability as well as take advantage of richer input when available.

### 4 Suggestions for discussion

As mentioned in section three, our interest is in creating an environment in which collaboration can happen more effectively and efficiently, both on a technical and organizational level. Relevant topics:

- Integrating architectures and standards from related fields (e.g. ROS, OpenInterface, OpenCog, EmotionML, the Incremental Unit-architecture,);
- Missing standards (e.g. Context Markup Language (CML), messaging, etc.);
- Data, assets, knowledge & technology sharing;
- Design and development best practices.


\(^3\) [http://www.mindmakers.org/projects/saiba/wiki](http://www.mindmakers.org/projects/saiba/wiki)
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